UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/588,952	04/25/2007	Edwin Nun	294009US0PCT	9750	
22850 7590 02/15/2011 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			MATZEK, MATTHEW D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1786		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/15/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,952	NUN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1786		

	MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1786				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice or ing replies: (1) an amendment, a ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 6.07(f).	HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or	it of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <b>no</b> t be entered b	ecause			
(a) $\square$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see N					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	., ,		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 Sac attached Nation of Nan	'ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		omphant Amendment	(F1OL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).  7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-10,13-15 and 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-25</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Matthew D Matzek/	/Neger L. Tamas Mala					
Examiner, Art Unit 1786	/Norca L. Torres-Velaz Primary Examiner, Art					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the applied art fails to provide for the claims inorganic-organic silicon network including an organic component covalently bonded between the Si atoms and wherein the organic component has a hydroxyl group and an amine group because the Armbrust reference does not describe any particular AMEO/GLYEO combination. Example 1 disclosed in Applicant's specification describes the process of combining GLYEO and AMEO for the purpose of forming a two component system for producing the claimed ceramic coating layer. Column 15, lines 55-67 of Armbrust et al. disclose an additive solution (D) for forming a two component system involving the combination of GLYEO and AMEO for the purpose of forming a two component system for producing a ceramic coating layer; therefore, the coating of Armbrust et al. which is formed in the same manner, using the same components as Applicant, would arrive at the claimed coating layer. Applicant argues that Penth does not describe the organic bonding agent other than by reference to other patents. Applicant continues on to say that Penth teaches away from the use of polymeric materials. Applicant asserts that the bonding agent of Penth must be different from the organic component of the instant claims and bonding agent of Penth is removed to form the ceramic layer. In the prior art section, Penth does teach that the use of a bonding agent can lead to irregularities in the ceramic material surface and/or structure, however, the reference also teaches the explicit use of an organic bonding agent in the applied invention (col. 7, lines 46-50). Applicant is encouraged to further explain why the bonding agent of Penth must be different from the organic component of the present claims. Applicant argues that the bonding agent described in Penth is only used in the outer green layer and not in a layer which corresponds to the ceramic interlayer of the present claims. The instant claims call for two ceramic layers: an outer layer and an interlayer. The first layer formed in Penth, which Applicant's refer to as the green layer in this instance, may serve as an interlayer when the ceramic layer formation process is duplicated. The hydrolyzing process used to form the ceramic material layer may be repeated to form a second ceramic layer upon the first ceramic layer (col. 7, lines 35-45). This second ceramic layer serves as the claimed ceramic coating and the first is the claimed interlayer.